

Assessing and matching landuse with land suitability – the model development and landuse implications

Innovative Solutions for Sustainable Management of Nitrogen, Aarhus University, Denmark, June 2017

Ranvir Singh¹, Ahmed Elwan¹, David J Horne¹, Aldrin Rivas¹, Andrew Manderson², Jon Roygard³ and Mike Hedley¹

¹Fertilizer and Lime Research Centre, Massey University, , Palmerston North ³Landcare Research, Palmerston North ³Horizons Regional Council, , Palmerston North



Productive Farms - Economic Benefits and Social Welfare

R

Reduce Negative Environmental Impacts – Improve Water Quality

Sources and contributions to nutrient loadings?



Source: Environment New Zealand 2007, MfE.

Rangitikei Catchment









Rangitikei Catchment (~3887 km²)



Major land use - Sheep/beef/dairy (~50%); and NOF "i.e. Blocks not otherwise used for farming" (~42%). ~ 18,000 ha of dairy farming and 1,100 ha of arable farmed land mainly in the lower parts of the catchment.



Major soil types are silt loam (~36%), sandy loam (~30%) and loam/loamy sand (~13%)



Major rock types in the catchment are sandstone (~32%), limestone (~23%), gravel (~22%) and mudstone (~12%)

Estimates of N leaching and river loads in the Rangitikei catchment





Relationships between nitrogen attenuation and catchment characteristics



UNIVERSITY OF NEW ZEALAND

Nitrogen attenuation factor $(AF_n) = (N_{rootzone} - N_{river}) / N_{rootzone}$





PLSR correlation loadings (component 1) for different catchment characteristics (independent variables)

THE ENGINE OF THE NEW NEW ZEALAND

Source: Elwan, A., **Singh, R.**, Horne, D., Roygard, J., & Clothier, B. (2015). Nitrogen attenuation factor: Can it tell a story about the journey of nitrogen in different subsurface environments? In: *Moving farm systems to improved attenuation*. (Eds L.D. Currie and L.L Burkitt). and Lime Research Centre, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand. 12 pp. *Non-Peer-Reviewed* (full paper).

Field Experiments and Monitoring



Dairy over fine sand loam and alluvium

- 2. Dairy over stony silt loam and gravels
- 3. Sheep & Beef over silt clay loam and alluvium
- 4. Dairy over silt loam and gravels/ alluvium

1.

Source: Aldrin Rivas, PhD Student, Massey University



Prediction of nitrogen loads in the Rangitikei River



Assignment of nitrogen attenuation capacity classes and factors to different soils and rocks types

AF _n class	AF _n value	Soil types (soil texture, drainage and carbon classes)*	Rock Types
Low	0.10 – 0.30	e.g. Stony sandy loam, and sand & stony gravel; soil carbon class 5; and soil drainage classes 4 and 5, and artificial drainage	e.g. Gravels
Medium	0.35 – 0.60	e.g. Sandy and silt loams; soil carbon classes 3 and 4; and soil drainage class 3	e.g. Sandstone, limestone, and siltstone
High	0.80 – 0.95	e.g. Heavy silt loam, clay loam and peaty loam; soil carbon classes 1 and 2; and soil drainage classes 1 and 2	e.g. Mudstone and peat

*According to the FSL, soil carbon is classified into 5 classes where 1 is very high soil total carbon content (>20%) and whereas 5 is very low soil total carbon content (<2%).

Soil drainage classes (1 to 5) where drainage class 1 is assigned to very poorly drained soil and drainage class 5 to well drained soils.



Prediction of nitrogen loads in the Rangitikei River



Model 1 - Uniform nitrogen attenuation factor

River N load (ton yr⁻¹) =
$$m \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i * N_i * (1 - AF_{N_{0.5}})$$

Model 2 - Variable nitrogen attenuation factor (soil types only – FSL layer)

River N load (ton yr⁻¹) =
$$m \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i * N_i * (1 - AF_{N_{ST}})$$

Model 3 - Variable nitrogen attenuation factor (rock types only - QMAP layer)

River N load (ton yr⁻¹) =
$$m \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i * N_i * (1 - AF_{N_{RT}})$$

Model 4 - Variable nitrogen attenuation factor (soil and rock types – FSL and QMAP layers)

River N load (ton yr⁻¹) =
$$m \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i * N_i * (1 - AF_{N_{RT}})(1 - AF_{N_{ST}})$$

Where:

m

 A_i

Ni

 AF_N

- = Conversion factor;
 - = Area of different land use type (ha);
 - = Estimates of nitrogen loss rate (kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) for different land use types;
- = Subsurface nitrogen attenuation capacity (fraction) specific to soil types ($AF_{N_{ST}}$) and rock types ($AF_{N_{RT}}$)



Prediction of nitrogen loads in the Rangitikei River



Comparison of predicted vs. measured average annual soluble inorganic nitrogen (SIN) loads in different sub-catchments of the river



Come and see our poster S2-10

Modelling spatial nitrogen attenuation and land-based nitrogen loads to rivers Ahmed Elwan, Ranvir Singh, Dave Horne, Andrew Manderson, Jon Roygard, Brent Clothier, Geoffrey Jones

De-intensify and Intensify landuse scenarios





Consider 3 scenarios

(S1) De-intensify landuse (< 15 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) on low-medium attenuation areas (9,800 ha),

(S2) Intensify landuse (30 - 45 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) on high attenuation areas (83,000 ha), and

(S₃) Combination of de-intensify and intensify landuse.

Root zone losses increase by 55% N load in river decreases by 6%



Concluding remarks



Catchment-scale accounting of nitrogen flows in the Rangitikei River catchment suggests that

- soluble inorganic nitrogen (SIN) loads measured in the river are significantly smaller (~ 80%) than the estimates of nitrogen leached from the root zone;
- ✓ estimates of potential in-stream nitrogen uptake are relatively smaller (~4 to 7%) when compared with the estimates of nitrogen leached from the root zone;
- prediction of (soluble inorganic) nitrogen loads in the river is significantly improved by incorporating the spatial effects of different soil types and underlying geologies on nitrogen attenuation in the subsurface environment.



Concluding Remarks

Research hypothesis



Upper Manawatu River Catchment, New Zealand Nitrogen Attenuation Capacity

Green > 80 % N reduction

Yellow

50 – 80 %

N reduction

Targeted investment in solutions, e.g.

High Capacity Areas: Sustainable Land Use Intensification

Medium Capacity Areas: Reduce Nitrogen Leaching via Best Effluent and Nutrient Management Practices

Red < 50 % N reduction

Low Capacity Areas: Duration controlled grazing on Sheep/Goat milking Cut and Carry Systems

•

Acknowledgements









This study is a part a wider collaborative research programme between Massey Fertilizer and Lime Research Centre (FLRC) and Horizons Regional Council (HRC).

HRC, Dairy NZ and NSC Our Land and Water provide funding and information for this study.

LANDCARE RESEARCH MANAAKI WHENUA This funding and in-kind support is greatly appreciated.

OUR LAND AND WATER Toitū te Whenua, Toiora te Wai National **SCieNCE** Challenges



Supporting Slides





A hydrogeologic based model to predict river nitrogen loads



River N load = Root zone loss - Subsurface Attenuation – In-stream Attenuation

River N load (ton yr⁻¹) =
$$m\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i * N_i * (1 - AF_N)\right] - N_{up}$$

Where:

- *m* = Conversion factor;
- A_i = Area of different land use type (ha);
- N_i = Estimates of nitrogen loss rate (kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) for different land use types;
- AF_N = Subsurface nitrogen attenuation capacity (fraction);
- N_{up} = In-stream nitrogen uptake (ton yr⁻¹)



Estimates of potential in-stream nitrogen uptake in the Rangitikei River



$In - stream \ N \ uptake \left(N_{up}\right) (ton \ yr^{-1}) = m * (fn * AI * P) * (fp * W)$

Where,

m W

fp

P AI

fn

- = Conversion factor;
 - = Wetted area of stream/river bed (m²);
 - = Periphyton cover fraction (-);
 - = Periphyton growth (mg chlr-a/m²);
 - = Autotrophic Index (i.e. ratio of periphyton biomass (ash-free dry mass) to chlr-a) (-); and
 - = Periphyton biomass N content fraction.



Estimates of potential in-stream nitrogen uptake (in stream orders 2 and above) accounted for a maximum of only 4 to 7% of estimated root zone N loss in different sub-catchments



Relationships between nitrogen attenuation and catchment characteristics



UNIVERSITY OF NEW ZEALAND

Soil texture

C TOMPSON

Groundwater survey (summer 2014)





Land use

Sheep and/or

groundwater in the Tarana GWMZ based on 56 samples collected in Rebruary-March 2014. Labels in the plot are well numbers

PCA Component 2 (redox processes in reducing conditions in the Tararua Groundwater Management Zone (TGWMZ).

THE ENGINE OF THE NEW NEW ZEALAND

Spatial distribution of the nitrogen attenuation factor for 15 subcatchments in the Tararua Groundwater Management Zone (TGWMZ).

Rivas, A., **Singh, R.**, Horne, D., Roygard, J., Matthews, A., and Hedley, M. (2017). Denitrification potential in the subsurface environment in the Manawatu River catchment, New Zealand: Indications from oxidation-reduction conditions, hydrogeological factors, and implications for nutrient management. Journal of Environment Management, 197 (2017), 476-489.

De-intensify landuse (S1)



 Landuse intensity was reduced on hydrogeologic units that have low/low (soils/rocks), and low/medium or medium/low capacity to attenuate nitrogen



Intensify landuse (S2)



 Landuse intensity was increased on flat (<15 degree slope) hydrogeologic units that have high/high (soils/rocks), and high/medium or medium/high capacity to attenuate nitrogen



Intensify landuse in some areas and de-intensifying in other areas (S3)



 The two scenarios described above were combined here i.e. N leaching from the low attenuation areas was re-assigned to the high attenuation areas.



Areas involved



- Landuse intensity was reduced on approximately 9,800 ha in the Rangitikei catchment.
- Landuse intensity was increased on approximately 83,000 ha in the Rangitikei catchment.



De-intensify landuse (S1)





Intensify landuse (S2)





De-intensify and Intensify landuse (S3)



Concluding remarks



Models like the one explored here help to:

- identify the most critical areas for targeting our investment and efforts to reduce nitrogen loads to our rivers;
- redesign land use practices in a coordinated fashion by spatially aligning intensive land use practices with high nitrogen attenuation pathways, i.e. 'matching landuse with land suitability', to increase agricultural production while reducing environmental impacts.

However, further understanding and mapping of subsurface nitrogen attenuation capacity in NZ agricultural catchments is required and work-in-progress.

